

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)
dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097)
sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted *pro hac vice*)
andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
Teuta Fani (admitted *pro hac vice*)
teutafani@quinnemanuel.com
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 705-7400
Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)
stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com
Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com
Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)
crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com
Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705)
alyolson@quinnemanuel.com
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

Josef Ansorge (admitted *pro hac vice*)
josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com
Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)
tracygao@quinnemanuel.com
Carl Spilly (admitted *pro hac vice*)
carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com
1300 I Street NW, Suite 900
Washington D.C., 20005
Telephone: (202) 538-8000
Facsimile: (202) 538-8100

Jomaire Crawford (admitted *pro hac vice*)
jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)
jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Counsel for Defendant Google LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION

CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,
JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER
CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,
individually and on behalf of themselves and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

**DECLARATION OF TEUTA FANI IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL
PORTIONS OF PLAINTIFFS'
RESPONSE TO GOOGLE'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION DKTS. 642,
646**

Judge: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ

1 I, Teuta Fani, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of Illinois and an attorney at Quinn Emanuel
3 Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, attorneys for Defendant Google LLC (“Google”) in this action. I make
4 this declaration of my own personal, firsthand knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I
5 could and would testify competently thereto.

6 2. I am making this declaration pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e)-(f) as an attorney
7 for Google as the Designating Party, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(f)(3) in response to Dkt.
8 649.

9 3. On August 1, 2022, Plaintiffs filed their Response to Google’s July 27, 2022
10 Administrative Motion Dkts. 642, 646. On August 1, 2022, I received an unredacted service copy
11 of these documents.

12 4. I have reviewed the documents that Plaintiffs seek to file under seal pursuant to Civil
13 Local Rule 79-5. Based on my review, there is good cause to seal the following information:

Document	Basis for Sealing
Plaintiffs’ Response to Google’s Administrative Motion Dkts. 642, 646 Page 1:21, 1:23-27, 2:27, 3:27	The information requested to be sealed contains Google’s highly confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google’s internal systems and operations, including various types of Google’s data signals and logs, and their proprietary functionalities, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google’s competitors. Such confidential and proprietary information reveals Google’s internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services, and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. <i>See</i> Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such confidential and proprietary information could affect Google’s competitive standing as competitors may alter their systems and practices relating to competing products. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google’s internal practices relating to competing products.
Declaration of Mark Mao In Support of Plaintiffs’ Response to Google’s Administrative Motion (Dkt. 642)	The information requested to be sealed contains Google’s highly confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google’s internal systems and operations, including various types of Google’s data signals

Pages 2:16, 2:20

and logs, and their proprietary functionalities, that Google maintains as confidential in the ordinary course of its business and is not generally known to the public or Google's competitors. Such confidential and proprietary information reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining many of its important services, and falls within the protected scope of the Protective Order entered in this action. *See* Dkt. 81 at 2-3. Public disclosure of such confidential and proprietary information could affect Google's competitive standing as competitors may alter their systems and practices relating to competing products. It may also place Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats, as third parties may seek to use the information to compromise Google's internal practices relating to competing products.

5. Google's request is narrowly tailored in order to protect its confidential information. These redactions are limited in scope and volume. Because the proposed redactions are narrowly tailored and limited to portions containing Google's highly-confidential or confidential information, Google requests that the portions of the aforementioned documents be redacted from any public version of those documents.

6. Google does not seek to redact or file under seal any of the remaining portions of Plaintiffs' Response to Google's Administrative Motion Dkts. 642, 646 not indicated in the table above.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California on August 8, 2022.

DATED: August 8, 2022

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP

By /s/ Teuta Fani

Teuta Fani
Attorney for Defendant